UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/539,914 | 11/14/2005 | Gert Droesbeke | 003D.0068.U1(US) | 6534 |
| 29683 | 7590 06/29/2007 N & SMITH, PC | · | EXAMINER NGO, HUNG V | |
| 4 RESEARCH | DRIVE | | | |
| SHELTON, C | Γ 06484-6212 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | · | 2831 | |
| | | | | |
| • | • | | MAIL DATE . | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/29/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | へ | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/539,914 | DROESBEKE ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Hung V. Ngo | 2831 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 | Mav 2007. | | | | | | |
| | is action is non-final. | • | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 15-37 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. | ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d | i). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No | s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/539,914

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-21, 25-32, 36, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benzoni (US 5,416,668).

Re claims 15, 26, 37 Benzoni discloses a plurality of walls (26, 28, 30, 32) and comprising one or more integrated or integral mounting tails (62, 90, 100) adapted for mounting said shielding cage to a circuit board (22), wherein said mounting tails are flexible and capable of providing a relief of stress of the push/pull forces.

The limitation of "die case" has been considered, but does not result in a structural difference. The presence of process limitations in product claims, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to that product. In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965).

Re claims 16, 27 wherein the shielding cage comprises receiving structures (24, 48) adapted to integrate said integrated mounting tails.

Re claims 17, 28 wherein the receiving structure is adapted to receive a metal strip having one or more of said mounting tails (Fig 1).

Re claims 18, 29 wherein said mounting tails are sheet metal SMT tails (Fig 2, 3,

Application/Control Number: 10/539,914

Art Unit: 2831

Re claims 19, 30 wherein at least one of said walls comprises an insertion stop structure (60).

Re claims 20, 31, wherein said insertion stop structure is provided outside the region of said mounting tail (Fig 4).

Re claims 21, 32, at least one position pillar (48, 50)

Re claims 25, 36, wherein the shielding cage is adapted for covering a header (Fig 1) and comprises a structure adapted for receiving attachment means of a cable connector to be connected to said header (Fig 2)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-24, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benzoni

The teaching of Benzoni as discussed above including a plurality of extensions (60) (re claim 24, 35), but does not disclose the shielding cage is made of a die cast material such as brass having a thermal expansion coefficient substantially equal to the thermal expansion coefficient of the circuit board.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the material of Benzoni et al for intended use since it

has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-20-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (1) that Benzoni fails to disclose a die-cast shielding cage having either integral or integrated mounting tails.

With respect to (1) it has been addressed in previous paragraphs

Prior Art

Cunningham, Mistry et al, Jacob et al, and Erickson et al disclose a die-cast structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVN 06-25-07

Hung V Na

HUNG V. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER